\$~ * IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELM

W.P.(C) 2403/2004

INDIAN ASSOCIATION OF PHYSIOTHERAPISTS

.... Petitioner

Through:

Mr. D. Moitra and Mr. S.K. S.

Sharma, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.... Respondents

Through:

Mr. Sachin Datta and Ms. Poorva Nanawati, Advocate for R-1.

Mr. Amiet Andlay, Advocate for R-2.
Mr. Sudhir Nandrajog, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Alok Krishan Agarwal, Mr.
Sudhir Mishra, Mr. Amit Negi and
Mr. Ramesh Jerath, Advocates for R-4/Allahabad Agriculture Univerity.
Mr. A.K. Mata, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advocate for R-7.
Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Advocate for

UGC.

CORAM: HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

> ORDER 22,04,2010

%

The prayer in this writ petition is to de-recognise Respondent Nos. 4 to 8 on the ground that they conduct correspondence courses/distance learning education courses in physiotherapy.

W.P.(C) 2403/2004

Page 1 of 2



March, 2010 that correspondence courses/distance education programmes in physiotherapy have already been discontinued by their clients.

An opportunity was given to the University Grants Commission (UGC) to confirm this position but there is no categorical statement coming forth from the UGC in this regard.

In so far as Respondents No. 5, 6 and 8 are concerned, they have not been appearing in this writ petition despite service.

Under the circumstances, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction that henceforth the Respondent Nos.4 to 8 will not conduct any correspondence course/distance education programme in physiotherapy except with the prior consent of the approved statutory bodies.

to integrate up

NOW A WIN

OF THE PERSON OF REAL

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

ato of his

MUKTA GUPTA, J

APRIL 22, 2010 vn/mm

DIGITALLY SIGNED DATA

VERIFIED TRUE COPY

Examiner is clai Department indian Evidence American Con 79