

§

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 2403/2004

INDIAN ASSOCIATION OF
PHYSIOTHERAPISTS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. D. Moitra and Mr. S.K. S.
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sachin Datta and Ms. Poorva
Nanawati, Advocate for R-1.
Mr. Amiet Andlay, Advocate for R-2.
Mr. Sudhir Nandrajog, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Alok Krishan Agarwal, Mr.
Sudhir Mishra, Mr. Amit Negi and
Mr. Ramesh Jerath, Advocates for R-
4/Allahabad Agriculture Univerity.
Mr. A.K. Mata, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sudhir Kumar, Advocate for R-7.
Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Advocate for
UGC.

CORAM:

**HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

ORDER

%

22.04.2010

The prayer in this writ petition is to de-recognise Respondent Nos. 4 to 8 on the ground that they conduct correspondence courses/distance learning education courses in physiotherapy.

W.P.(C) 2403/2004

Page 1 of 2



DIGITALLY SIGNED DATA
VERIFIED TRUE COPY

For Private Use
Examiner Judicial Department
High Court of Delhi

March, 2010 that correspondence courses/distance education programmes in physiotherapy have already been discontinued by their clients.

An opportunity was given to the University Grants Commission (UGC) to confirm this position but there is no categorical statement coming forth from the UGC in this regard.

In so far as Respondents No. 5, 6 and 8 are concerned, they have not been appearing in this writ petition despite service.

Under the circumstances, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction that henceforth the Respondent Nos.4 to 8 will not conduct any correspondence course/distance education programme in physiotherapy except with the prior consent of the approved statutory bodies.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

MUKTA GUPTA, J

APRIL 22, 2010

vn/mm



DIGITALLY SIGNED DATA

VERIFIED TRUE COPY

Certified to be True Copy

Examiner, Judicial Department
High Court of Delhi
Authorised Under Section 70
Indian Evidence Act,